UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

NICHOLE GEIST 5226 Castor Ave. Philadelphia, PA 19124,

Plaintiff,

v.

IOVINE BROTHERS BAR AND GRILL, INC. d/b/a MOLLY MALLOY'S Reading Terminal Market 1136 Arch St. Philadelphia, PA 19107.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION

CASE NO.:

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Nichole Geist (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") hereby complains as follows against Defendant and avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Plaintiff against by Iovine Brothers Bar and Grill, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et. seq.); the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"); and the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance, Philadelphia Code § 9-1100 et seq. ("PFPO"). Plaintiff asserts, inter alia, that she was unlawfully terminated by Defendant and suffered other economic harm as detailed herein. As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

¹ References to the PHRA and PFPO are made herein only for notice purposes. Plaintiff's case was administratively closed with the EEOC and she has thus been required to timely initiate the instant lawsuit. However, she will seek leave to amend this complaint by adding identical claims (as alleged under the ADA) under the PHRA and PFPO once such claims are also fully administratively exhausted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because this civil action arises under a law of the United States.
- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district. Plaintiff also properly exhausted her administrative remedies to proceed with the cause of actions as set forth herein.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.
- 7. Defendant Iovine Brothers Bar and Grill, Inc. ("Defendant") is an entity incorporated in Pennsylvania which owns and operates a restaurant within the Reading Terminal Market named Molly Malloy's.
- 8. Upon information and belief, because of their interrelation of operations, common ownership or management, centralized control of labor relations, common ownership or financial controls, and other factors, Defendant, along with Iovine Brothers Inc. d/b/a Iovine Brothers Produce (hereinafter "IBP") are sufficiently interrelated and integrated in their activities, labor

relations, ownership and management that they may be treated as a single and/or joint employer for purposes of the instant action.

- 9. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff was jointly employed by Defendant and IBP. Defendants and IBP, upon information and belief, had overlapping management and shared resources.
 - 10. Upon information and belief, IBP and Defendant share employees.
- 11. According to the Pennsylvania Department of State, Defendant and IBP have the similar or similar management structure.
- 12. IBP utilizes the address 45 N. 12th St., Philadelphia, PA 19107, which is Reading Terminal Market, which is where Defendant is located.
- 13. Defendant, individually or collectively with IBP,² employed fifteen (15) or more employees for each working day in each of 20 or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.
- 14. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 15. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 16. Plaintiff was employed with Defendant for approximately six months as a staff member in the kitchen at Molly Malloy's.

² See Nesbit v. Gears Unlimited, Inc., 347 F.3d 72 (3d Cir. 2003), cert. den'd, 541 U.S. 959, 124 S. Ct. 1714, 158 L. Ed. 2d 400 (2004) (employees of multiple entities can be <u>aggregated</u> for the purposes of meeting the 15 employee threshold of Title VII). The 15 employee threshold of Title VII is identical to the 15 employee requirement of the ADA. See Clackamas Gastroenterology Assocs. v. Wells, 538 U.S. 440, 449 n.7, 123 S. Ct. 1673, 155 L. Ed. 2d 615 (2003); Kohn v. AT&T Corp., 58 F. Supp. 2d 393, 419 (D.N.J. 1999).

- 17. While employed with Defendant, Plaintiff performed her job well and was a dedicated and hard-working employee.
- 18. In or about January of 2017, while working for Defendant, Plaintiff sustained a work-related injury to her foot, consisting of third-degree chemical burns from chemicals used at Defendant.
- 19. Plaintiff still experiences foot pain as a result of the injuries sustained in the aforementioned accident.
- 20. As a result of the aforesaid injuries, Plaintiff has been and continues to be substantially limited in several major life activities, including but not limited to at times working, walking, running, exercising and other life activities.
- 21. Plaintiff reported her injury to Defendant's management, including but not limited to Theresa Iovine (high-level manager), and filed a worker's compensation claim shortly after being injured at work.
- 22. After sustaining her aforesaid work-related injury, Plaintiff requested reasonable accommodations in the form of time off from work for her injuries and medical treatment.
- 23. Initially, Plaintiff only missed approximately two days from work; however, as her condition began to worsen, Plaintiff was placed out of work from on or about January 13, 2017 through on or about February 3, 2017.
- 24. Upon her return to work, Plaintiff also requested accommodations from Defendant in or about February of 2017 including but not limited to infrequent pain breaks for pain flare-ups and occasionally having to attend medical appointments.
- 25. After returning from her medical leave of absence on or about February 3, 2017, Plaintiff was treated with hostility and animosity by Defendant's management, including but not

limited to treating her in a rude and demeaning manner, belittling her, and closely scrutinizing her work.

- 26. On or about February 15, 2017, Plaintiff was abruptly terminated from her employment with Defendant for completely pretextual reasons.
- 27. Defendant's management, including James Iovine (part owner of Defendant), Theresa Iovine, and Vincent Iovine (part owner of Defendant) informed Plaintiff that she was being terminated alleged because she had comped a burger for another manager within Reading Terminal Market, a common practice of employees within Defendant. In fact, Plaintiff's manager gave her his manager's code in order process comps on other occasions and told her she can use it whenever she needs to.
- 28. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that she was terminated: (1) because of her actual, perceived and/or record of disabilities; (2) in retaliation for requesting reasonable accommodations for her health conditions; and/or (3) in retaliation for complaining of discrimination.

Count I <u>Violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, as amended ("ADA")</u> ([1] Actual/Perceived/Record of Disability Discrimination; and [2] Retaliation)

- 29. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 30. Plaintiff has and continues to suffer from qualifying disabilities under the ADA, including but not limited to foot injuries, which at times limits her ability to perform some daily life activities.

- 31. Defendant discriminated against and retaliated Plaintiff by terminating her because of her: (1) known, actual and/or perceived health problems; (2) record of health problems; and/or (3) because of her requested accommodations.
 - 32. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- i. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiffs would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld (if determined that there are such benefits) from the date she first suffered discrimination/retaliation at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- ii. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages (in accordance with the Statutes she is suing under), as permitted by applicable law, to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- iii. Plaintiff is to be awarded damages for her emotional distress, humiliation and/or pain and suffering as permitted by law (under applicable Statutes);
- iv. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable
 legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
- v. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law; and

vi. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

/s/ Ari R. Karpf
Ari R. Karpf, Esquire
Adam C. Lease, Esquire
3331 Street Road
Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128
Bensalem, PA 19020
(215) 639-0801
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Date: September 19, 2017

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

NICHOLE GE	EIST :		CIVIL ACTION			
v.		:				
OVINE BROTHERS BAR AND D/B/A MOLLY MALLOY'S	GRILL, INC.	; ;	NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management we a copy on all de event that a defe shall, with its firs arties, a Case Man	Track Designantendants. (See andant does no st appearance, nagement Trac	deduction Plan of this court, counsition Form in all civil cases at the ties \$1:03 of the plan set forth on the retagree with the plaintiff regarding submit to the clerk of court and ser k Designation Form specifying the ed.	me of everse g said ve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING C.	ASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.						
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvexposure to asbestos.	ing claims for pe	ersonal injury o	or property damage from	()		
(e) Special Management — 6 commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse a management cases.)	s complex and th	at need special	or intense management by	()		
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do no	ot fall into any	one of the other tracks.	(X)		
9/19/2017		>-	Plaintiff			
Date	Attorney-	at-law	Attorney for			
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-49		akarpf@karpf-law.com			
Telephone	FAX Nun	nber	E-Mail Address			
(Clv. 660) 10/02	,	1	,			

A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of							
Address of Plaintiff. 5226 Castor Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19124								
Address of Defendant: Reading Terminal Market, 1136 Arch Street, Pl	niladelphia, PA 19107							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business (Usa Reverse Side For	Additional Space)							
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corneration owning 10% or more of it.							
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)								
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	YesD No.							
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	•							
Case Number: Judge Date Terminated:								
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	•							
1. Is this case related to properly included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	car previously terminated action in this court?							
	Yes□ No□							
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated							
•	Yes O NoO							
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any carlier								
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□ .							
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigit	its case filed by the same individual?							
	Yes D NoD							
CIVII.: (Place W in one Category only)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases;							
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. D. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract and Other Contracts							
2. D FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury							
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation							
4. D Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury							
5. D Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury							
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. C Other Personal Injury (Please specify)							
7. M. Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability							
8. D Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos							
9. 🗆 Securities Acl(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases							
10. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)							
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please apecify)								
A TO THE PARTY AND A CONTROL OF THE PARTY AND A	PYDYO A TYONI							
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C								
i, Ari R. Karpf , counsel of record do hereby corn	ify:							
N Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this civit action care exceed the sum of							
Rollef other than monetery damages is sought.								
0/10/2017	A R K 2484							
DATE: 9/19/2017 Attornoy-at-Law	ARK2484 Attorney I.D.# 91538							
NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by jury only if th	ere has been compliance with P.R.C.P. 38.							
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religiously that, to my knowledge, the within case is not religiously to any case now pending or	r within one year previously terminated action in this court							
except as noted above.								
9/19/2017	ARK2484							
DATE: Attomoy-at-Law	Atterney l.D.# 91538							
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	31538							

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCT	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)	ra, is required for the tipe of th	ie dien di Count toi me	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
GEIST, NICHOLE				IOVINE BROTHERS BAR AND GRILL, INC. d/b/a MOLLY MALLOY'S			
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff	Philadelphia				Philadelphia	
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ISES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE THOSE LAND INVOLVED.	HE LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, 2	Address, and Telephone Number	•)		Attomeys (If Known)			
Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, I			l Sauare.				
Suite 128, Bensalem, PA							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Bax Only)	III. CI	<u> </u> TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif)	
1 U.S. Government X 3 Federal Question			(For Diversity Cases Only) P1	rf def	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Vot a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 1 Incorporated or Print of Business In T		
3.110.0	. 5		and a				
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and Pri of Business In A		
			Citiza	en or Subject of a	3 3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	[Place on "Y" in One Box Ox	do)	Fo.	reign Country	Click here for: Nature	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT		RTS	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY		
J 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y 0 62	5 Drug Related Scizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	0 375 False Claims Act	
0 120 Marine 3 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 	0 69	of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	' 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Linbility	0 367 Health Care/			BORG 117611A1MAN MINTALL NAMES	0 400 State Reapportionment	
 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 	' 320 Assault, Libel & Stander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			820 Copyrights	0 410 Antitrust 0 430 Banks and Banking	
3 151 Medicare Act	' 330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			3 830 Patent	D 450 Commerce	
3 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 240 Marina	368 Asbestos Personal	ļ.		9 835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Application 3 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR -			
of Veteran's Benefits I 160 Stockholders' Suits	' 350 Motor Vehicle ' 355 Motor Vehicle	0 370 Other Fraud 0 371 Truth in Lending	0 71	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	' 861 HIA (1395ff) 3 862 Black Lung (923)	490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/	
I 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	3 380 Other Personal	ō 72	0 Labor/Management	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
195 Contract Product Liability	' 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	3 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	 385 Property Damage Product Liability 		0 Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical	' 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		D 895 Freedom of Information	
REALPROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO		0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	3 440 Other Civil Rights 3 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 3 463 Alien Detainee	79	I Employee Retirement Income Security Act	3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	0 896 Arbitration 0 899 Administrative Procedure	
3 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	5 442 Employment	3 510 Motions to Vacate	our troublets - the delicemen		0 871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of	
3 240 Torts to Land	3 443 Housing/	Sentence			26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
3 245 Tort Product Liability 5 290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations X 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	5 530 General 5 535 Death Penalty	22748861	IMMIGRATION		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
o estata onal treatment	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Application			
	5 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	5 540 Mandamus & Oth	ет 🗓 46	5 Other Immigration		1	
	Other 5 448 Education	5 550 Civil Rights 5 555 Prison Condition		Actions			
		5 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of Confinement					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" is	n One Box Only)				I	.1	
XI Original 0.2 Re	moved from 0 3	Remanded from			erred from 0 6 Multidist		
Proceeding Sta	ate Court	Appellate Court	,	(specify			
	 IAmericans w/ Dis 	stute under which you a sabilites Act "ADA	re filing (<i>1</i>) \" (42US	o not cite Jurisdictional state SC12101)	ites unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	JN Brief description of ca	mse.		delphia Fair Practic	es Ordinance		
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMANDS		if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.			JURY DEMAND	: XYes 'No	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):						
IF ANY	(Dec man wenting).	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
9/19/2017		SIGNATURE OF AT	ORNEY	FRECORD	··········	· — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<u>.</u>				
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	
RECEIPT* A	MODIAI	AFI'L TINU IFF		JUDGE	MWO 101	>UL	

Print

Save As...

Reset